Geology and Mineral Deposits of the Duluth Complex, Minnesota and why it will be mined someday



Jim Miller Department of Geological Sciences University of Minnesota Duluth



Talk Outline

- Geology of the Duluth Complex
- Mineral Deposits of the Duluth Complex
- History of Exploration
- Why the Duluth Complex ore deposits will be mined ...someday

THE MIDCONTINENT RIFT

An attempt at continental separation 1.1 billion years ago













Sequential Emplacement of Duluth Complex Intrusions





Creation of the Cu-Ni-PGE Sulfide Deposits of the Duluth Complex



Dunka Pit (East Wall)





From Severson and Hauck, 2003

History of Cu-Ni-PGE Exploration in the Duluth Complex

- 1948 Cu-sulfide mineralization discovered by F.W. Childers
- 1951 Childers and Whiteside drill first exploration drill hole at Spruce Road
- 1954 INCO begins drilling program at Maturi deposit
- 1958 Bear Creek (Kennecott) begins drilling program at Babbitt deposit
- 1966 Minnesota opens state lands for minerals lease sale
- 1967 INCO sinks shaft at Maturi deposit
- 1969 Total of 198,000' of drill core acquired
- 1974-78 State conducts Cu-Ni Regional Study
- 1974-82 State suspends lease sale
- 1976 AMAX sinks shaft at Babbitt deposit
- 1981 AMAX abandons Babbitt deposit
- 1985 High PGE values discovered at Birch Lake deposit
- 1988 Lehmann and Assoc. begin drilling of the Birch Lake deposit
- 1997-2000 Polymet, Teck Cominco,

Franconia, Wallbridge (Duluth Metals) begin active exploration drilling

2007 - Total of 322,000' of drill core acquired











Why Will the Duluth Complex Ore Deposits be Mined Someday?

- New technological advances in processing sulfide minerals
- The US is the principal consumer of Copper, Nickel, Cobalt and Precious Metals, but relies on the rest of the world to supply these resources
- Cu, Ni, and PGE are critical metals in a modern, green world
- The deposit occur adjacent to an area of taconite mining with an estimated 50 year life span
- These deposits constitute the largest undeveloped Cu-Ni resource on Earth

Mineralogy of Magmatic Cu-Ni Sulfide Deposits

Silicate/Oxide Minerals

Olivine $-(Mg,Fe)_2SiO_4$ Plagioclase $-(Ca,Na)(AI,Si)_4O_8$ Augite $-Ca(Mg,Fe)Si_2O_6$ Titano-magnetite $-(Fe,Ti)_3O_4$

An Inconvenient Reality Most of the world's metals comes from sulfide minerals

Copper Country of Upper Michigan: *Native Cu* Native Miners pre-1000 yrs European Miners 1844-1972

Separating Metal from Sulfur

THEN Roasting/Smelting

NOW Hydrometallurgy

The Challenge Preventing Acid Mine Drainage

 $2\text{FeS}_2(s) + 7\text{O}_2(g) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(I) \rightarrow$ $2Fe^{2+}(aq) + 4SO_4^{2-}(aq) + 4H^{+}(aq)$

United States -

The #1 consumer of mineral resources, that produces little for itself

% Mined by US% Imported forMetalvs. Total MiningUS consumption

40%

Copper 7.7% (Chile (30%), US, Indonesia, Peru)

Nickel 0% 54% (*Russia, Australia, Canada, Indonesia*)

Cobalt 0% 78% (Congo (30%), Zambia, Australia, Canada)

 Palladium
 6.6%
 78%

 (Russia (44%), South Africa (38%))
 78%

Statistics from US Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries, Jan. 2006

Minerals Imported by the United States

In spite of its size and mineral wealth, the United States is not able to produce all of the minerals it needs to be self-sufficient. To maintain our life-style and provide all of the consumer products and infrastructure we use everyday, various amounts of the following minerals must be imported from foreign countries.

United States Imports of Selected Nonfuel Minerals & Metals

	50 per	cent	Countries Suppling the U.S. with minerals
Arsenic		100%	China, Chile, Mexico
Columbium		100%	Brazil, Canada, Germany, Thailand, Nigeria
Graphite (strategic)		100%	Mexico, Canada, China, Brazil, Madagascar
Manganese		100%	South Africa, France, Brazil, Australia
Mica (sheet)		100%	India, Belgium, China, Argentina
Strontium (celestite)		100%	Mexico, Germany, Spain, China
Thallium		100%	Belgium, Canada, United Kingdom
Yttrium		100%	Australia
Bauxite & Alumina		99%	Australia, Guinea, Jamaica, Surinam
Gemstones		98%	Israel, Belgium, India, United Kingdom
Diamonds (industrial)		95%	South Africa, Ireland, United Kingdom, Zaire
Asbestos		95%	Canada, South Africa,
Tungsten		94%	China, Bolivia, Peru, Germany
Platinum-Group Metals		91%	South Africa, Russia, United Kingdom
Fluorspar		88%	South Africa, Mexico, China
Tantalum		86%	Germany, Australia, Canada, Brazil
Tin		84%	Brazil, Bolivia, China, Indonesia
Barite		82%	China, India, Mexico
Cobalt		79%	Zambia, Zaire, Canada
Chromium		7 5 %	South Africa, Turkey, Zimbabwe, Yugoslavia
Potash		7 4 %	Canada, Israel, Former U.S.S.R., Germany
Nickel		66%	Canada, Norway, Australia, Dominican Republic
Stone (dimension)		6 5 %	Italy, Spain, Canada
Antimony		62%	China, Mexico, South Africa
Iodine		58%	Japan, Chile
Cadmium	-	50%	Canada, Mexico, Australia, Belgium
Peat		49%	Canada
Zinc	4 1	%	Canada, Mexico, Peru, Spain, Australia
Selenium	399	%	Canada, Japan, Philippines, Belgium
Silicon	3 9 9	%	Brazil, Norway, Canada, Venezuela
Gypsum	35%		Canada, Mexico, Spain
Sulfur	18%		Canada, Mexico
Nitrogen	15%		Canada, Mexico, Former U.S.S.R., Trinidad
Iron Ore	12%		Canada, Brazil, Venezuela, Mauritania
Salt	12%		Canada, Mexico, Bahamas
Lead	8 %	n	Canada, Mexico, Peru, Australia
Cement	7 %	1	Canada, Mexico, Japan, Spain
4			
0 9	% 20% 40%	60% 80% 10	0%
Note: Other imported minerals and metals not shown above, include:			
antimony, gold, mercury, silver, pumice, and volcanic cinder and vanadium.			

COPPER An Important Metal in a Green Economy

A Single 3MW Wind Turbine Needs:

- ☑ 335 tons of steel
- ✓ 4.7 tons of copper
- ☑ 1,200 tons of concrete (cement and aggregates)
- ☑ 3 tons of aluminum
- 2 tons of rare earth elements
- Aluminum
- ☑ Zinc
- Molybdenum

NW Mining Association, 2009

Cu in a Standard Car with Combustion Engine 43-55 lbs

> Cu in a Prius 80 lbs

PGE – Platinum Group Elements Pt – Platinum, Pd – Palladium, Os – Osmium, Ru – Ruthenium, Rh – Rhodium, Ir - Iridium Major PGE Deposits and Targets ~/\\$ Skaergaard ₹ Fenno-Noril'sk Scandian Pt 18% 'oisey's Pd 67% Dudiath Rh 36% <u>Stillwater</u> (Jinchuan O Pt <5% Pd 90% Rh <5% Rincon del Tigre O • Great Dyke Munni 511 Munni Bushveld Pt 74% Pd 24% ••• PGE-reefs in Ultramafic/Mafic Complexes Rh 60% **O** PGE-reefs in Tholeiitic Intrusions **O** *PGE* as by-product in Cu-Ni Sulfide Deposit 1997 production numbers

Bushveld Complex South Africa

Supplying the 70% of the World's Platinum

Merensky Reef, Eastern Bushveld Complex

Palladium: "The Environmental Metal"

The Stillwater Mine (Montana) Only Precious Metals Mine in the U.S. (owned by Noril'sk Nickel)

NORILSK, RUSSIA

Noril'sk, Russia Cu-Ni-PGE Deposits

Supplies 60% of the World's Palladium

Sulfide Smelter in Monchegorsk, Russia In 1998, responsible for 50% of SO₂ in the northern hemisphere

Adjacent to the **Taconite Mines** of the Eastern Mesabi Range

Provides a ready-made infrastructure and labor force

PolyMet Project Site

New Plant Facilities ~ within existing plant footprint

Electro-winning Plant

Hydromet Plant

Oxygen Plant

Flotation Plant

World Class Ores of the Duluth Complex Compared to other <u>Magmatic Sulfide Deposits</u>, the Duluth

From Peterson, 2010

World Scale of the Nokomis Deposit

The Largest UNDEVELOPED Cu-Ni Deposit on Earth

Duluth deposits are perceived as low grade. Let's compare them to the other great type of disseminated ore deposit; Porphyry Copper's. These data include secondary enrichment zones in the porphyry's.

Compared to porphyry copper deposits, the Duluth Complex ores are **HIGHER GRADE** with **MORE METAL** than nearly all porphyry systems.

The largest ore deposits in the USA are on this diagram, and the Duluth Complex ores are much larger then all of them.